

Gaudin-like determinants for overlaps in integrable systems and their application to quench problems

Michael Brockmann



University of Amsterdam

Institute for Theoretical Physics (ITFA)

Bad Honnef, 28 June 2014

Integrable Lattice Models and Quantum Field Theories

Outline

- Motivation
- The spin-1/2 XXZ Heisenberg chain (fixing the notation)
- Overlap of the zero-momentum Néel state with XXZ Bethe states
 - Gaudin-like determinant formula (sketching the proof)
 - Overlaps with non-parity-invariant Bethe states
- Scaling limit to the Lieb-Liniger Bose gas
- Application to quench problems
- Conclusion and outlook

Outline

- Motivation
- The spin-1/2 XXZ Heisenberg chain (fixing the notation)
- Overlap of the zero-momentum Néel state with XXZ Bethe states
 - Gaudin-like determinant formula (sketching the proof)
 - Overlaps with non-parity-invariant Bethe states
- Scaling limit to the Lieb-Liniger Bose gas
- Application to quench problems
- Conclusion and outlook

In collaboration with... Jean-Sébastien Caux
 Jacopo De Nardis
 Bram Wouters
 Davide Fioretto

Motivation

Why are we interested in overlaps of certain states with Bethe states?

- Combinatorial aspects of the XXZ chains (roots of unity)
- Discovering a general structure of these overlaps
- Application to non-equilibrium dynamics (quench problems)
→ Relaxation in isolated (strongly interacting) many-body quantum systems

Quench protocol:

- Initial state $|\Psi_0\rangle$ (not an eigenstate of the system with Hamiltonian H , e.g. ground state of a different Hamiltonian H_0 ; “interaction quench”)
- Time evolution: $|\Psi(t)\rangle = e^{-iHt}|\Psi_0\rangle$ of the state and, in particular, of observables:

$$\langle \Psi(t) | \mathcal{O} | \Psi(t) \rangle = \langle \Psi_0 | e^{iHt} \mathcal{O} e^{-iHt} | \Psi_0 \rangle = \sum_{m,n} \langle \Psi_0 | m \rangle \langle n | \Psi_0 \rangle e^{i(E_m - E_n)t} \langle m | \mathcal{O} | n \rangle$$

Motivation

Why are we interested in overlaps of certain states with Bethe states?

- Combinatorial aspects of the XXZ chains (roots of unity)
- Discovering a general structure of these overlaps
- Application to non-equilibrium dynamics (quench problems)
 - Relaxation in isolated (strongly interacting) many-body quantum systems

Quench protocol:

- (a) Initial state $|\Psi_0\rangle$ (not an eigenstate of the system with Hamiltonian H , e.g. ground state of a different Hamiltonian H_0 ; “interaction quench”)
- (b) Time evolution: $|\Psi(t)\rangle = e^{-iHt}|\Psi_0\rangle$ of the state and, in particular, of observables:

$$\langle\Psi(t)|\mathcal{O}|\Psi(t)\rangle = \langle\Psi_0|e^{iHt}\mathcal{O}e^{-iHt}|\Psi_0\rangle = \sum_{m,n} \langle\Psi_0|m\rangle\langle n|\Psi_0\rangle e^{i(E_m-E_n)t}\langle m|\mathcal{O}|n\rangle$$

→ three ingredients:

- 1) Matrix elements $\langle m|\mathcal{O}|n\rangle$
- 2) Energies E_m ,
- 3) Overlaps $\langle\Psi_0|m\rangle$ of the initial state with the corresponding energy eigen states

Motivation

Why are we interested in overlaps of certain states with Bethe states?

- Combinatorial aspects of the XXZ chains (roots of unity)
- Discovering a general structure of these overlaps
- Application to non-equilibrium dynamics (quench problems)
 - Relaxation in isolated (strongly interacting) many-body quantum systems

Quench protocol:

- (a) Initial state $|\Psi_0\rangle$ (not an eigenstate of the system with Hamiltonian H , e.g. ground state of a different Hamiltonian H_0 ; “interaction quench”)
- (b) Time evolution: $|\Psi(t)\rangle = e^{-iHt}|\Psi_0\rangle$ of the state and, in particular, of observables:

$$\langle \Psi(t) | \mathcal{O} | \Psi(t) \rangle = \langle \Psi_0 | e^{iHt} \mathcal{O} e^{-iHt} | \Psi_0 \rangle = \sum_{m,n} \langle \Psi_0 | m \rangle \langle n | \Psi_0 \rangle e^{i(E_m - E_n)t} \langle m | \mathcal{O} | n \rangle$$

→ three ingredients:

- 1) Matrix elements $\langle m | \mathcal{O} | n \rangle$
- 2) Energies E_m ,
- 3) Overlaps $\langle \Psi_0 | m \rangle$ of the initial state with the corresponding energy eigen states

Problem: double sum over the Hilbert space: $\sum_{m,n}$

Quench protocol

(b) **Problem:** double sum over the Hilbert space: $\sum_{m,n}$

Solution:

- Restriction to a certain class of operators
(so-called “weak operators” in the thermodynamic limit)
- Applying a saddle-point approximation by minimizing the “quench action”,
so-called Quench Action approach → talk by J.-S. Caux (tomorrow morning)

(c) Question about relaxation in a closed quantum many-body system can be *quantitatively* answered:

long time exp. values, relaxation process (not only for long times), exact description of the steady state → poster by J. De Nardis (tomorrow afternoon)

(d) Work was motivated by two quench scenarios:

- Interaction quench to the repulsive Lieb-Linger Bose gas starting from the ground state of the free theory (“BEC-like state”), experimentally realizable in quantum simulators, e.g. ultra cold atoms [I. Bloch et al., *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **80**, 885 (2008)], ...
- Quench to the spin-1/2 XXZ chain ($\Delta \geq 1$) starting from the gs of the Ising model

$$|\Psi_0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\downarrow \dots\rangle + |\downarrow\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow \dots\rangle)$$

[B. Wouters, MB, J. De Nardis, D. Fioretto, J.-S. Caux, arXiv:1405.0172, submitted to PRL]

Algebraic Bethe ansatz for the spin-1/2 XXZ chain

- Hamiltonian (lattice size N , $\sigma_j^\alpha =$ Pauli matrices at lattice site j):

$$H = \sum_{j=1}^N \left(\sigma_j^x \sigma_{j+1}^x + \sigma_j^y \sigma_{j+1}^y + \Delta (\sigma_j^z \sigma_{j+1}^z - 1) \right)$$

- PBC's: $\sigma_{N+1}^\alpha = \sigma_1^\alpha$, $\alpha = x, y, z$; anisotropy parameter: $\Delta = \text{ch}(\eta) = (q + q^{-1})/2$
- Yang-Baxter algebra (2×2 monodromy matrix $T(\lambda)$; λ spectral parameter):

$$\check{R}(\lambda - \mu) (T(\lambda) \otimes T(\mu)) = (T(\mu) \otimes T(\lambda)) \check{R}(\lambda - \mu)$$

with R-matrix of the 6-vertex model

$$\check{R}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\text{sh}(\lambda + \eta)} \begin{pmatrix} \text{sh}(\lambda + \eta) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \text{sh}(\eta) & \text{sh}(\lambda) & 0 \\ 0 & \text{sh}(\lambda) & \text{sh}(\eta) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \text{sh}(\lambda + \eta) \end{pmatrix}$$

- Monodromy matrix (product in auxiliary space of N Lax operators):

$$T(\lambda) = \prod_{n=1}^N L_n(\lambda) = L_1(\lambda) \dots L_N(\lambda) =: \begin{pmatrix} A(\lambda) & B(\lambda) \\ C(\lambda) & D(\lambda) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Algebraic Bethe ansatz for the spin-1/2 XXZ chain

- Lax operator (2×2 matrix in auxiliary space)

$$L_n(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\text{sh}(\lambda + \eta/2)} \begin{pmatrix} \text{sh}(\lambda + \frac{\eta}{2}\sigma_n^z) & \text{sh}(\eta)\sigma_n^- \\ \text{sh}(\eta)\sigma_n^+ & \text{sh}(\lambda - \frac{\eta}{2}\sigma_n^z) \end{pmatrix}$$

with Pauli matrices $\sigma_n^z, \sigma_n^\pm = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_n^x \pm i\sigma_n^y)$ acting on lattice site n

- Transfer matrices $t(\lambda) = \text{tr}_a(T(\lambda)) = A(\lambda) + D(\lambda)$ build a commutative family: $[t(\lambda), t(\mu)] = 0$

Conserved currents of the XXZ spin chain: $J_m = \frac{\partial^m}{\partial \lambda^m} \ln[t(\lambda)] \Big|_{\lambda=\eta/2}$ where $H = 2 \text{sh}(\eta) J_1$

Algebraic Bethe ansatz for the spin-1/2 XXZ chain

- Lax operator (2×2 matrix in auxiliary space)

$$L_n(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\text{sh}(\lambda + \eta/2)} \begin{pmatrix} \text{sh}(\lambda + \frac{\eta}{2}\sigma_n^z) & \text{sh}(\eta)\sigma_n^- \\ \text{sh}(\eta)\sigma_n^+ & \text{sh}(\lambda - \frac{\eta}{2}\sigma_n^z) \end{pmatrix}$$

with Pauli matrices $\sigma_n^z, \sigma_n^\pm = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_n^x \pm i\sigma_n^y)$ acting on lattice site n

- Transfer matrices $t(\lambda) = \text{tr}_a(T(\lambda)) = A(\lambda) + D(\lambda)$ build a commutative family: $[t(\lambda), t(\mu)] = 0$

Conserved currents of the XXZ spin chain: $J_m = \frac{\partial^m}{\partial \lambda^m} \ln[t(\lambda)] \Big|_{\lambda=\eta/2}$ where $H = 2 \text{sh}(\eta) J_1$

- Pseudo vacuum $|0\rangle = |\uparrow \dots \uparrow\rangle = |\uparrow\rangle^{\otimes N} \rightarrow$ monodromy matrix acts triangularly: $C(\lambda)|0\rangle = 0$

Bethe states $|\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^M\rangle = \prod_{j=1}^M B(\lambda_j)|0\rangle$ (λ_j arbitrary = “off-shell”)

Eigenstates of the transfer matrix if the parameters $\lambda_j, j = 1, \dots, M$, fulfill the Bethe equations (“on-shell”)

$$\left(\frac{\text{sh}(\lambda_j + \eta/2)}{\text{sh}(\lambda_j - \eta/2)} \right)^N = - \prod_{k=1}^M \frac{\text{sh}(\lambda_j - \lambda_k + \eta)}{\text{sh}(\lambda_j - \lambda_k - \eta)}, \quad j = 1, \dots, M.$$

Algebraic Bethe ansatz for the spin-1/2 XXZ chain

– Eigenstates of the magnetization $S^z = \sum_{n=1}^N \sigma_n^z / 2$ with eigenvalue $N/2 - M$

Space spanned by Bethe states with fixed number M of spectral parameters:
sector of fixed magnetization $S^z = N/2 - M$. Here: $M = N/2$

Bethe state *parity invariant* if the set of spectral parameters fulfills $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^M = \{-\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^M$

– Norm of an on-shell Bethe state (Gaudin matrix G):

$$\begin{aligned} \|\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^M\| &= \sqrt{\langle \{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^M | \{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^M \rangle}, \\ \langle \{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^M | \{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^M \rangle &= \text{sh}^M(\eta) \prod_{\substack{j,k=1 \\ j \neq k}}^M \frac{\text{sh}(\lambda_j - \lambda_k + \eta)}{\text{sh}(\lambda_j - \lambda_k)} \det_M(G), \\ G_{jk} &= \delta_{jk} \left(NK_{\eta/2}(\lambda_j) - \sum_{l=1}^M K_{\eta}(\lambda_j - \lambda_l) \right) + K_{\eta}(\lambda_j - \lambda_k), \end{aligned}$$

where $K_{\eta}(\lambda) = \frac{\text{sh}(2\eta)}{\text{sh}(\lambda+\eta)\text{sh}(\lambda-\eta)}$

[first suggested by Gaudin, McCoy, Wu (1981), then rigorously proven by Korepin (1982)]

Overlap formula – Result

- Initial state: $|\Psi_0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\downarrow\dots\rangle + |\downarrow\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\dots\rangle)$ ← zero-momentum Néel state
- Overlap with XXZ on-shell Bethe states (main result):

$$\frac{\langle\Psi_0|\{\pm\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^{N/4}\rangle}{\|\{\pm\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^{N/4}\|} = \sqrt{2} \left[\prod_{j=1}^{N/4} \frac{\sqrt{\text{th}(\lambda_j + \eta/2)\text{th}(\lambda_j - \eta/2)}}{2\text{sh}(2\lambda_j)} \right] \frac{\det_{N/4}(G^{(1)})}{\sqrt{\det_{N/2}(G^{(0)})}}$$

where $N/2$ even and

$$G_{jk}^{(\sigma)} = \delta_{jk} \left(NK_{\eta/2}(\lambda_j) - \sum_{l=1}^{N/4} K_{\eta}^{(\sigma)}(\lambda_j, \lambda_l) \right) + K_{\eta}^{(\sigma)}(\lambda_j, \lambda_k), \quad j, k = 1, \dots, N/4$$

$$K_{\eta}^{(\sigma)}(\lambda, \mu) = K_{\eta}(\lambda - \mu) + \sigma K_{\eta}(\lambda + \mu), \quad K_{\eta}(\lambda) = \frac{\text{sh}(2\eta)}{\text{sh}(\lambda + \eta)\text{sh}(\lambda - \eta)}$$

Overlap formula – Result

- Initial state: $|\Psi_0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\downarrow\dots\rangle + |\downarrow\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\dots\rangle)$ ← zero-momentum Néel state
- Overlap with XXZ on-shell Bethe states (main result):

$$\frac{\langle \Psi_0 | \{\pm\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^{N/4} \rangle}{\|\{\pm\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^{N/4}\|} = \sqrt{2} \left[\prod_{j=1}^{N/4} \frac{\sqrt{\text{th}(\lambda_j + \eta/2) \text{th}(\lambda_j - \eta/2)}}{2 \text{sh}(2\lambda_j)} \right] \frac{\det_{N/4}(G^{(1)})}{\sqrt{\det_{N/2}(G^{(0)})}}$$

where $N/2$ even and

$$G_{jk}^{(\sigma)} = \delta_{jk} \left(NK_{\eta/2}(\lambda_j) - \sum_{l=1}^{N/4} K_{\eta}^{(\sigma)}(\lambda_j, \lambda_l) \right) + K_{\eta}^{(\sigma)}(\lambda_j, \lambda_k), \quad j, k = 1, \dots, N/4$$

$$K_{\eta}^{(\sigma)}(\lambda, \mu) = K_{\eta}(\lambda - \mu) + \sigma K_{\eta}(\lambda + \mu), \quad K_{\eta}(\lambda) = \frac{\text{sh}(2\eta)}{\text{sh}(\lambda + \eta) \text{sh}(\lambda - \eta)}$$

Remarks:

- Bethe states are parity invariant: $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^{N/2} = \{-\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^{N/2} \equiv \{\pm\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^{N/4}$
- Bethe roots complex numbers (string solutions)
- The case $N/2$ odd can be treated similarly.
[MB, J. De Nardis, B. Wouters, J.-S. Caux, arXiv:1403.7469]
- Overlaps with non-parity-invariant Bethe states vanish.

Overlap formula – Sketch of the proof (Part I)

First step: Getting a determinant formula [Tsuchiya (1998), Pozsgay, Kozłowski (2012)]

Main ideas:

- Consider a 6-vertex model with reflecting ends (reflection equation needed)
- Define partition function that (after a simple transformation) turns into the overlap of a Bethe state with a certain boundary state (= product state of local two-site states)
- Calculate the partition function

Result ($\tilde{\lambda}_j$ arbitrary(!) complex numbers, $s_{x,y} = \text{sh}(x+y)$, $M = N/2$):

$$\langle \Psi_0 | \{ \tilde{\lambda}_j \}_{j=1}^M \rangle = \sqrt{2} \left[\prod_{j=1}^M \frac{s_{\tilde{\lambda}_j, +\eta/2}}{s_{2\tilde{\lambda}_j, 0}} \frac{s_{\tilde{\lambda}_j, -\eta/2}^M}{s_{\tilde{\lambda}_j, +\eta/2}^M} \right] \left[\prod_{j>k=1}^M \frac{s_{\tilde{\lambda}_j + \tilde{\lambda}_k, \eta}}{s_{\tilde{\lambda}_j + \tilde{\lambda}_k, 0}} \right] \det_M(1 + U)$$

$$U_{jk} = \frac{s_{2\tilde{\lambda}_k, \eta} s_{2\tilde{\lambda}_k, 0}}{s_{\tilde{\lambda}_j + \tilde{\lambda}_k, 0} s_{\tilde{\lambda}_j - \tilde{\lambda}_k, \eta}} \left[\prod_{\substack{l=1 \\ l \neq k}}^M \frac{s_{\tilde{\lambda}_k + \tilde{\lambda}_l, 0}}{s_{\tilde{\lambda}_k - \tilde{\lambda}_l, 0}} \right] \left[\prod_{l=1}^M \frac{s_{\tilde{\lambda}_k - \tilde{\lambda}_l, -\eta}}{s_{\tilde{\lambda}_k + \tilde{\lambda}_l, +\eta}} \right] \left(\frac{s_{\tilde{\lambda}_k, +\eta/2}}{s_{\tilde{\lambda}_k, -\eta/2}} \right)^N$$

Overlap formula – Sketch of the proof (Part I)

First step: Getting a determinant formula [Tsuchiya (1998), Pozsgay, Kozłowski (2012)]

Main ideas:

- Consider a 6-vertex model with reflecting ends (reflection equation needed)
- Define partition function that (after a simple transformation) turns into the overlap of a Bethe state with a certain boundary state (= product state of local two-site states)
- Calculate the partition function

Result ($\tilde{\lambda}_j$ arbitrary(!) complex numbers, $s_{x,y} = \text{sh}(x+y)$, $M = N/2$):

$$\langle \Psi_0 | \{ \tilde{\lambda}_j \}_{j=1}^M \rangle = \sqrt{2} \left[\prod_{j=1}^M \frac{s_{\tilde{\lambda}_j, +\eta/2}}{s_{2\tilde{\lambda}_j, 0}} \frac{s_{\tilde{\lambda}_j, -\eta/2}^M}{s_{\tilde{\lambda}_j, +\eta/2}^M} \right] \left[\prod_{j>k=1}^M \frac{s_{\tilde{\lambda}_j + \tilde{\lambda}_k, \eta}}{s_{\tilde{\lambda}_j + \tilde{\lambda}_k, 0}} \right] \det_M(1 + U)$$

$$U_{jk} = \frac{s_{2\tilde{\lambda}_k, \eta} s_{2\tilde{\lambda}_k, 0}}{s_{\tilde{\lambda}_j + \tilde{\lambda}_k, 0} s_{\tilde{\lambda}_j - \tilde{\lambda}_k, \eta}} \left[\prod_{\substack{l=1 \\ l \neq k}}^M \frac{s_{\tilde{\lambda}_k + \tilde{\lambda}_l, 0}}{s_{\tilde{\lambda}_k - \tilde{\lambda}_l, 0}} \right] \left[\prod_{l=1}^M \frac{s_{\tilde{\lambda}_k - \tilde{\lambda}_l, -\eta}}{s_{\tilde{\lambda}_k + \tilde{\lambda}_l, \eta}} \right] \left(\frac{s_{\tilde{\lambda}_k, +\eta/2}}{s_{\tilde{\lambda}_k, -\eta/2}} \right)^N$$

Remarks:

- expression inconvenient to perform the thermodynamic limit
- singularities in the prefactor + zeroes of the determinant for parity-invariant states
- But: expression valid for *off-shell* Bethe states
- Idea: perform the limit to parity-invariant states (not necessarily on-shell Bethe states)

Overlap formula – Sketch of the proof (Part II)

Reducing the determinant (off-shell formula):

- Perform the limit to parity-invariant off-shell states
- Set $\tilde{\lambda}_j = \lambda_j + \varepsilon_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, M/2$ and $\tilde{\lambda}_j = -\lambda_{j-M/2} + \varepsilon_{j-M/2}$ for $j = M/2 + 1, \dots, M$ with arbitrary complex numbers $\lambda_j, j = 1, \dots, M/2$
- Main ingredients of the proof:
 - $\varepsilon_j \rightarrow 0, j = 1, \dots, M/2$
 - pseudo parity invariance of the set $\{\tilde{\lambda}_j\}_{j=1}^M = \{\lambda_j + \varepsilon_j\}_{j=1}^{M/2} \cup \{-\lambda_j + \varepsilon_j\}_{j=1}^{M/2}$
- Bethe equations are less important (only at the very end)

Simple determinant manipulations and expanding everything carefully in small ε_j :

$$\det_M[1 + U] =$$

$$\det_M \left(\begin{array}{c} \left[\begin{array}{ccc} \varepsilon_1 D_1 & 0 & \\ 0 & 1 & \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{ccc} \varepsilon_2 e_{12} & 0 & \\ 0 & 0 & \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{ccc} \varepsilon_3 e_{13} & 0 & \\ 0 & 0 & \end{array} \right] \cdots \\ \left[\begin{array}{ccc} \varepsilon_1 e_{21} & 0 & \\ 0 & 0 & \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{ccc} \varepsilon_2 D_2 & 0 & \\ 0 & 1 & \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{ccc} \varepsilon_3 e_{23} & 0 & \\ 0 & 0 & \end{array} \right] \\ \left[\begin{array}{ccc} \varepsilon_1 e_{31} & 0 & \\ 0 & 0 & \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{ccc} \varepsilon_2 e_{32} & 0 & \\ 0 & 0 & \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{ccc} \varepsilon_3 D_3 & 0 & \\ 0 & 1 & \end{array} \right] \\ \vdots \\ \ddots \end{array} \right) = \left[\prod_{j=k}^{M/2} \varepsilon_k \right] \det_{M/2} \left[\begin{array}{cccc} D_1 & e_{12} & e_{13} & \cdots \\ e_{21} & D_2 & e_{23} & \\ e_{31} & e_{32} & D_3 & \\ \vdots & & & \ddots \end{array} \right]$$

Overlap formula – Sketch of the proof (Part II)

where $(\alpha_k = \sqrt{-\frac{s_{2\lambda_k,+\eta}}{s_{2\lambda_k,-\eta}} a_k}$, and b_k^\pm first order corrections of $U_{2k-1,2k}$, $U_{2k,2k-1}$, respectively):

$$\begin{aligned} D_k &= \lim_{\{\varepsilon_k \rightarrow 0\}_{k=1}^{M/2}} (\dots) = -\frac{s_{2\lambda_k,-\eta}}{s_{2\lambda_k,0}} \alpha_k^2 - \frac{s_{2\lambda_k,+\eta}}{s_{2\lambda_k,0}} \alpha_k^{-2} - b_k^+ \alpha_k^{-2} - b_k^- \alpha_k^2 \\ &= \frac{s_{2\lambda_k,+\eta}}{s_{2\lambda_k,0}} a_k + \frac{s_{2\lambda_k,-\eta}}{s_{2\lambda_k,0}} a_k^{-1} + 2 \operatorname{ch}(\eta) - s_{0,\eta} \partial_{\lambda_k} \ln \left\{ \frac{s_{\lambda_k,+\eta/2}^{2M}}{s_{\lambda_k,-\eta/2}^{2M}} \prod_{\substack{l=1 \\ l \neq k}}^{M/2} \prod_{\sigma=\pm} \frac{s_{\lambda_k+\sigma\lambda_l,-\eta}}{s_{\lambda_k+\sigma\lambda_l,+\eta}} \right\} \\ &= \frac{s_{2\lambda_k,+\eta}}{s_{2\lambda_k,0}} \mathfrak{a}_k + \frac{s_{2\lambda_k,-\eta}}{s_{2\lambda_k,0}} \bar{\mathfrak{a}}_k + 2M s_{0,\eta} K_{\eta/2}(\lambda_k) - \sum_{\substack{l=1 \\ l \neq k}}^{M/2} s_{0,\eta} K_{\eta}^+(\lambda_k, \lambda_l) \end{aligned}$$

$$e_{jk} = \sqrt{\frac{s_{2\lambda_k,+\eta} s_{2\lambda_k,-\eta} a_j}{s_{2\lambda_j,+\eta} s_{2\lambda_j,-\eta} a_k}} (K_{\eta}^+(\lambda_j, \lambda_k) + f_{jk})$$

where $K_{\eta}^+(\lambda, \mu) = K_{\eta}(\lambda - \mu) + K_{\eta}(\lambda + \mu)$, $K_{\eta}(\lambda) = \frac{s_{0,2\eta}}{s_{\lambda,+\eta} s_{\lambda,-\eta}}$ and $\mathfrak{a}_k = 1 + a_k$, $\bar{\mathfrak{a}}_k = 1 + a_k^{-1}$
where

$$a_k = a(\lambda_k) = \left[\prod_{\substack{l=1 \\ \sigma=\pm}}^{M/2} \frac{s_{\lambda_k - \sigma\lambda_l, -\eta}}{s_{\lambda_k - \sigma\lambda_l, +\eta}} \right] \left(\frac{s_{\lambda_k, +\eta/2}}{s_{\lambda_k, -\eta/2}} \right)^{2M}$$

Overlap formula – Sketch of the proof (Part II)

where $(\alpha_k = \sqrt{-\frac{s_{2\lambda_k,+\eta}}{s_{2\lambda_k,-\eta}} a_k}$, and b_k^\pm first order corrections of $U_{2k-1,2k}$, $U_{2k,2k-1}$, respectively):

$$\begin{aligned} D_k &= \lim_{\{\varepsilon_k \rightarrow 0\}_{k=1}^{M/2}} (\dots) = -\frac{s_{2\lambda_k,-\eta}}{s_{2\lambda_k,0}} \alpha_k^2 - \frac{s_{2\lambda_k,+\eta}}{s_{2\lambda_k,0}} \alpha_k^{-2} - b_k^+ \alpha_k^{-2} - b_k^- \alpha_k^2 \\ &= \frac{s_{2\lambda_k,+\eta}}{s_{2\lambda_k,0}} a_k + \frac{s_{2\lambda_k,-\eta}}{s_{2\lambda_k,0}} a_k^{-1} + 2 \operatorname{ch}(\eta) - s_{0,\eta} \partial_{\lambda_k} \ln \left\{ \frac{s_{\lambda_k,+\eta/2}^{2M}}{s_{\lambda_k,-\eta/2}^{2M}} \prod_{\substack{l=1 \\ l \neq k}}^{M/2} \prod_{\sigma=\pm} \frac{s_{\lambda_k+\sigma\lambda_l,-\eta}}{s_{\lambda_k+\sigma\lambda_l,+\eta}} \right\} \\ &= \frac{s_{2\lambda_k,+\eta}}{s_{2\lambda_k,0}} \mathfrak{a}_k + \frac{s_{2\lambda_k,-\eta}}{s_{2\lambda_k,0}} \bar{\mathfrak{a}}_k + 2M s_{0,\eta} K_{\eta/2}(\lambda_k) - \sum_{\substack{l=1 \\ l \neq k}}^{M/2} s_{0,\eta} K_{\eta}^+(\lambda_k, \lambda_l) \end{aligned}$$

$$e_{jk} = \sqrt{\frac{s_{2\lambda_k,+\eta} s_{2\lambda_k,-\eta} a_j}{s_{2\lambda_j,+\eta} s_{2\lambda_j,-\eta} a_k}} (K_{\eta}^+(\lambda_j, \lambda_k) + f_{jk})$$

where $K_{\eta}^+(\lambda, \mu) = K_{\eta}(\lambda - \mu) + K_{\eta}(\lambda + \mu)$, $K_{\eta}(\lambda) = \frac{s_{0,2\eta}}{s_{\lambda,+\eta} s_{\lambda,-\eta}}$ and $\mathfrak{a}_k = 1 + a_k$, $\bar{\mathfrak{a}}_k = 1 + a_k^{-1}$
where

$$a_k = a(\lambda_k) = \left[\prod_{\substack{l=1 \\ \sigma=\pm}}^{M/2} \frac{s_{\lambda_k - \sigma\lambda_l, -\eta}}{s_{\lambda_k - \sigma\lambda_l, +\eta}} \right] \left(\frac{s_{\lambda_k, +\eta/2}}{s_{\lambda_k, -\eta/2}} \right)^{2M}$$

After further manipulations... finally...

Overlap formula – Sketch of the proof (Part II)

Off-shell overlap formula (λ_j arbitrary complex numbers):

$$\langle \Psi_0 | \{ \pm \lambda_j \}_{j=1}^{M/2} \rangle = \langle \Psi_0 | \{ \lambda_j + \varepsilon_j \}_{j=1}^{M/2} \cup \{ -\lambda_j + \varepsilon_j \}_{j=1}^{M/2} \rangle \Big|_{\{ \varepsilon_j \rightarrow 0 \}_{j=1}^{M/2}} = \gamma \det_{M/2}(G^+),$$

where

$$\gamma = \sqrt{2} \left[\prod_{j=1}^{M/2} \frac{s_{\lambda_j + \eta/2}^{2M+1} s_{\lambda_j - \eta/2}^{2M+1}}{s_{2\lambda_j, 0}^2} \right] \left[\prod_{\substack{j > k = 1 \\ \sigma = \pm}}^{M/2} \frac{s_{\lambda_j + \sigma \lambda_k, +\eta} s_{\lambda_j + \sigma \lambda_k, -\eta}}{s_{\lambda_j + \sigma \lambda_k, 0}^2} \right]$$

$$G_{jk}^+ = \delta_{jk} \left(N s_{0, \eta} K_{\eta/2}(\lambda_j) - \sum_{l=1}^{M/2} s_{0, \eta} K_{\eta}^+(\lambda_j, \lambda_l) \right) + s_{0, \eta} K_{\eta}^+(\lambda_j, \lambda_k)$$

$$+ \delta_{jk} \frac{s_{2\lambda_j, +\eta} \mathfrak{A}_j + s_{2\lambda_j, -\eta} \bar{\mathfrak{A}}_j}{s_{2\lambda_j, 0}} + (1 - \delta_{jk}) f_{jk}, \quad j, k = 1, \dots, M/2$$

$$f_{jk} = \mathfrak{A}_k \left(\frac{s_{2\lambda_j, +\eta} s_{0, \eta}}{s_{\lambda_j + \lambda_k, 0} s_{\lambda_j - \lambda_k, +\eta}} - \frac{s_{2\lambda_j, -\eta} s_{0, \eta}}{s_{\lambda_j - \lambda_k, 0} s_{\lambda_j + \lambda_k, -\eta}} \right) + \mathfrak{A}_k \bar{\mathfrak{A}}_j \frac{s_{2\lambda_j, -\eta} s_{0, \eta}}{s_{\lambda_j - \lambda_k, 0} s_{\lambda_j + \lambda_k, -\eta}}$$

$$- \bar{\mathfrak{A}}_j \left(\frac{s_{2\lambda_j, -\eta} s_{0, \eta}}{s_{\lambda_j - \lambda_k, 0} s_{\lambda_j + \lambda_k, -\eta}} + \frac{s_{2\lambda_j, -\eta} s_{0, \eta}}{s_{\lambda_j + \lambda_k, 0} s_{\lambda_j - \lambda_k, -\eta}} \right)$$

Overlap formula – Result

- Initial state: $|\Psi_0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\downarrow\dots\rangle + |\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\downarrow\dots\rangle)$ ← zero-momentum Néel state
- Overlap with XXZ *on-shell* Bethe states (main result):

$$\frac{\langle \Psi_0 | \{\pm\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^{N/4} \rangle}{\|\{\pm\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^{N/4}\|} = \sqrt{2} \left[\prod_{j=1}^{N/4} \frac{\sqrt{\text{th}(\lambda_j + \eta/2) \text{th}(\lambda_j - \eta/2)}}{2 \text{sh}(2\lambda_j)} \right] \frac{\det_{N/4}(G^{(1)})}{\sqrt{\det_{N/2}(G^{(0)})}}$$

where

$$G_{jk}^{(\sigma)} = \delta_{jk} \left(NK_{\eta/2}(\lambda_j) - \sum_{l=1}^{N/4} K_{\eta}^{(\sigma)}(\lambda_j, \lambda_l) \right) + K_{\eta}^{(\sigma)}(\lambda_j, \lambda_k), \quad j, k = 1, \dots, N/4$$

$$K_{\eta}^{(\sigma)}(\lambda, \mu) = K_{\eta}(\lambda - \mu) + \sigma K_{\eta}(\lambda + \mu), \quad K_{\eta}(\lambda) = \frac{\text{sh}(2\eta)}{\text{sh}(\lambda + \eta) \text{sh}(\lambda - \eta)}$$

Overlap formula – Result

- Initial state: $|\Psi_0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\downarrow\dots\rangle + |\downarrow\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\dots\rangle)$ ← zero-momentum Néel state
- Overlap with XXZ *on-shell* Bethe states (main result):

$$\frac{\langle\Psi_0|\{\pm\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^{N/4}\rangle}{\|\{\pm\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^{N/4}\|} = \sqrt{2} \left[\prod_{j=1}^{N/4} \frac{\sqrt{\text{th}(\lambda_j + \eta/2)\text{th}(\lambda_j - \eta/2)}}{2\text{sh}(2\lambda_j)} \right] \frac{\det_{N/4}(G^{(1)})}{\sqrt{\det_{N/2}(G^{(0)})}}$$

where

$$G_{jk}^{(\sigma)} = \delta_{jk} \left(NK_{\eta/2}(\lambda_j) - \sum_{l=1}^{N/4} K_{\eta}^{(\sigma)}(\lambda_j, \lambda_l) \right) + K_{\eta}^{(\sigma)}(\lambda_j, \lambda_k), \quad j, k = 1, \dots, N/4$$

$$K_{\eta}^{(\sigma)}(\lambda, \mu) = K_{\eta}(\lambda - \mu) + \sigma K_{\eta}(\lambda + \mu), \quad K_{\eta}(\lambda) = \frac{\text{sh}(2\eta)}{\text{sh}(\lambda + \eta)\text{sh}(\lambda - \eta)}$$

Remarks:

- Bethe roots complex numbers (string solutions)
- Bethe states are parity invariant: $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^{N/2} = \{-\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^{N/2} \equiv \{\pm\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^{N/4}$
- Overlaps with non-parity-invariant Bethe states vanish.

[MB, J. De Nardis, B. Wouters, J.-S. Caux, arXiv:1403.7469]

- The case $N/2$ odd can be treated similarly.

Scaling limit to the LL Bose gas

Scaling limit to the LL Bose gas

- Scaling limit:

$$\eta = i\pi - i\varepsilon, \quad N = cL/\varepsilon^2, \quad \lambda_j \rightarrow \varepsilon\lambda_j/c, \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \quad \left(\Delta = \text{ch}(\eta) = \frac{q + q^{-1}}{2} \rightarrow -1 \right)$$

- Bethe equations (for a finite(!) number $M = N_{LL}$ of rapidities, N_{LL} even):

$$e^{iL\lambda_j} = - \prod_{k=1}^{N_{LL}} \frac{\lambda_j - \lambda_k + ic}{\lambda_j - \lambda_k - ic}, \quad j = 1, \dots, N_{LL}$$

These are the Bethe equations of the Lieb-Liniger Bose gas [[Lieb and Liniger 1963](#)]:

$$H_{LL} = - \sum_{j=1}^{N_{LL}} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2} + 2c \sum_{j>k} \delta(x_j - x_k)$$

Scaling limit to the LL Bose gas

- Scaling limit:

$$\eta = i\pi - i\varepsilon, \quad N = cL/\varepsilon^2, \quad \lambda_j \rightarrow \varepsilon\lambda_j/c, \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \quad \left(\Delta = \text{ch}(\eta) = \frac{q + q^{-1}}{2} \rightarrow -1 \right)$$

- Bethe equations (for a finite(!) number $M = N_{LL}$ of rapidities, N_{LL} even):

$$e^{iL\lambda_j} = - \prod_{k=1}^{N_{LL}} \frac{\lambda_j - \lambda_k + ic}{\lambda_j - \lambda_k - ic}, \quad j = 1, \dots, N_{LL}$$

These are the Bethe equations of the Lieb-Liniger Bose gas [[Lieb and Liniger 1963](#)]:

$$H_{LL} = - \sum_{j=1}^{N_{LL}} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2} + 2c \sum_{j>k} \delta(x_j - x_k)$$

Problem:

- Here: $M = N/2$ flipped spins in the Néel state $\rightarrow M$ not finite
- Matrix in the determinant of the overlap formula is $N \times N$, becomes infinite dimensional

Solution:

- Flip (infinitely many) spins, respecting the symmetry of the model AND parity invariance
- Use $B(\lambda)$ and $C(\lambda)$ operators for large spectral parameter ($\lambda \rightarrow \pm\infty$)

Flipping spins – $U_q(\hat{s}_2)$ raising operators

- Calculate B - and C -operators in the limit $\lambda \rightarrow \pm\infty$
- Monodromy matrix for large $\lambda \rightarrow \pm\infty$ ($q = e^\eta$, $s_n^z = \sigma_n^z/2$, $s_n^\pm = \sigma_n^\pm$):

$$\begin{pmatrix} A(\lambda) & B(\lambda) \\ C(\lambda) & D(\lambda) \end{pmatrix} \sim q^{\mp N/2} \prod_{n=1}^N \left[\begin{pmatrix} q^{\pm s_n^z} & 0 \\ 0 & q^{\mp s_n^z} \end{pmatrix} \pm 2e^{\mp\lambda} \text{sh}(\eta) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & s_n^- \\ s_n^+ & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right]$$

- Spin raising and lowering operators ($U_q(\hat{s}_2)$ symmetry) [Pasquier (1990)]:

$$S_q^\mp = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \pm\infty} \left(\frac{q^{\pm N/2} \text{sh}(\lambda) \{B/C\}(\lambda)}{\text{sh}(\eta)} \right) = \sum_{n=1}^N \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} q^{+s_j^z} \right] s_n^\mp \left[\prod_{j=n+1}^N q^{-s_j^z} \right]$$

$$\tilde{S}_q^\mp = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \mp\infty} \left(\frac{q^{\mp N/2} \text{sh}(\lambda) \{B/C\}(\lambda)}{\text{sh}(\eta)} \right) = \sum_{n=1}^N \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} q^{-s_j^z} \right] s_n^\mp \left[\prod_{j=n+1}^N q^{+s_j^z} \right]$$

- q -raised Néel states ($n = N/4 - N_{LL}/2$):

$$|\Psi_0^{(n)}\rangle = \left(S_q^+ \tilde{S}_q^+ \right)^n |\Psi_0\rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \Psi_0^{(n)} | = \langle \Psi_0 | \left(S_q^- \tilde{S}_q^- \right)^n$$

- $|\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\downarrow\dots\rangle \rightarrow \sum |\uparrow\uparrow\dots\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow\dots\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\dots\uparrow\rangle$ (N_{LL} spins pointing down)
- Limits $q \rightarrow -1$, $N \rightarrow \infty$: no problems with periodic boundary conditions

Overlap formula in the scaling limit – Overlap of BEC with LL Bethe states

- 1. Start with the overlap of the Néel state with an XXZ **off-shell** Bethe state
- 2. Send n many rapidities to $+\infty$, n many to $-\infty$ (parity invariance of the state!)
- 3. Perform the scaling limit (using that S_q^\pm , \tilde{S}_q^\pm become $SU(2)$ symmetry operators)

Then (after a straightforward calculation [MB, J. Stat. Mech. (2014) P05006]):

$$\frac{\langle BEC | \{\pm\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^{N_{LL}/2} \rangle}{\|\{\pm\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^{N_{LL}/2}\|} = \frac{\sqrt{(cL)^{-N_{LL}} N_{LL}!} \det_{N_{LL}/2}(\tilde{G}^{(1)})}{\prod_{j=1}^{N_{LL}/2} \frac{\lambda_j}{c} \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_j^2}{c^2} + \frac{1}{4}} \sqrt{\det_{N_{LL}}(\tilde{G}^{(0)})}}$$

$$\tilde{G}_{jk}^{(\sigma)} = \delta_{jk} \left(L + \sum_{l=1}^{N_{LL}/2} \tilde{K}^{(|\sigma|)}(\lambda_j, \lambda_l) \right) - \tilde{K}^{(\sigma)}(\lambda_j, \lambda_k),$$

$$\tilde{K}^{(\sigma)}(\lambda, \mu) = \tilde{K}(\lambda - \mu) + \sigma \tilde{K}(\lambda + \mu), \quad \tilde{K}(\lambda) = 2c/(\lambda^2 + c^2)$$

Overlap formula in the scaling limit – Overlap of BEC with LL Bethe states

- 1. Start with the overlap of the Néel state with an XXZ **off-shell** Bethe state
- 2. Send n many rapidities to $+\infty$, n many to $-\infty$ (parity invariance of the state!)
- 3. Perform the scaling limit (using that S_q^\pm, \tilde{S}_q^\pm become $SU(2)$ symmetry operators)

Then (after a straightforward calculation [MB, J. Stat. Mech. (2014) P05006]):

$$\frac{\langle BEC | \{\pm\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^{N_{LL}/2} \rangle}{\| \{\pm\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^{N_{LL}/2} \|} = \frac{\sqrt{(cL)^{-N_{LL}} N_{LL}!} \det_{N_{LL}/2}(\tilde{G}^{(1)})}{\prod_{j=1}^{N_{LL}/2} \frac{\lambda_j}{c} \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_j^2}{c^2} + \frac{1}{4}} \sqrt{\det_{N_{LL}}(\tilde{G}^{(0)})}}$$

$$\tilde{G}_{jk}^{(\sigma)} = \delta_{jk} \left(L + \sum_{l=1}^{N_{LL}/2} \tilde{K}^{(|\sigma|)}(\lambda_j, \lambda_l) \right) - \tilde{K}^{(\sigma)}(\lambda_j, \lambda_k),$$

$$\tilde{K}^{(\sigma)}(\lambda, \mu) = \tilde{K}(\lambda - \mu) + \sigma \tilde{K}(\lambda + \mu), \quad \tilde{K}(\lambda) = 2c/(\lambda^2 + c^2)$$

- **Four Remarks:**

1. Normalized LL overlap formula is only valid “on-shell”, because of the norm determinant in the denominator: $\det_{N_{LL}}(\tilde{G}^{(0)})$.
2. There is a “reduced” determinant expression for overlaps of the q -raised Néel state with unnormalized *off-shell* Bethe states (before and after taking the scaling limit).
3. To get the correct pre-factor one has to count all states that scale to the same state.
4. The sum over all spin distributions give the BEC state: $\langle \mathbf{x} | BEC \rangle = L^{-N_{LL}/2}$

Application to quench problems

Application to quench problems

Procedure:

- Quench action approach (see talk by J-S)
- Leading part of the overlap in the thermodynamic limit
- Ratio of determinants $\left(\frac{\det_{N/2}(G^{(1)})}{\sqrt{\det_N(G^{(0)})}} = \sqrt{\frac{\det_{N/2}(G^{(+1)})}{\det_{N/2}(G^{(-1)})}} \right)$ is subleading. (Always?)
- Prefactor directly translates into the “driving term(s)” of the generalized TBA equation(s)

Application to quench problems

Procedure:

- Quench action approach (see talk by J-S)
- Leading part of the overlap in the thermodynamic limit
- Ratio of determinants $\left(\frac{\det_{N/2}(G^{(1)})}{\sqrt{\det_N(G^{(0)})}} = \sqrt{\frac{\det_{N/2}(G^{(+)})}{\det_{N/2}(G^{(-)})}} \right)$ is subleading. (Always?)
- Prefactor directly translates into the “driving term(s)” of the generalized TBA equation(s)

Example: Lieb-Liniger [J. De Nardis, B. Wouters, MB, J.-S. Caux, PRA 89 (2014) 033601]

(Spin-1/2 XXZ massive in [B. Wouters, MB, J. De Nardis, D. Fioretto, J.-S. Caux, arXiv:1405.0172])

- Prefactor (in the thermodynamic limit $L \rightarrow \infty$, $N_{LL} \rightarrow \infty$, $n = N_{LL}/L$ fixed, $x_j := \lambda_j/c$):

$$2 \log \left[\sqrt{(cL)^{-N_{LL}} N_{LL}!} / \prod_{j=1}^{N_{LL}/2} \frac{\lambda_j}{c} \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_j^2}{c^2} + \frac{1}{4}} \right] \rightarrow -\log [x^2(x^2 + 1/4)]$$

- gTBA equation: $\log a(x) = \log(\tau^2) - \log [x^2(x^2 + 1/4)] + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(x-y) \log [1 + a(y)] dy$
- Explicit solution: $a(x) = \frac{2\pi\tau}{x \operatorname{sh}(2\pi x)} h_{1-2ix}(4\sqrt{\tau}) h_{1+2ix}(4\sqrt{\tau})$, and $\tau = 1/\gamma = c/n$

Application to quench problems

Procedure:

- Quench action approach (see talk by J-S)
- Leading part of the overlap in the thermodynamic limit
- Ratio of determinants $\left(\frac{\det_{N/2}(G^{(1)})}{\sqrt{\det_N(G^{(0)})}} = \sqrt{\frac{\det_{N/2}(G^{(+1)})}{\det_{N/2}(G^{(-1)})}} \right)$ is subleading. (Always?)
- Prefactor directly translates into the “driving term(s)” of the generalized TBA equation(s)

Example: Lieb-Liniger [J. De Nardis, B. Wouters, MB, J.-S. Caux, PRA 89 (2014) 033601]

(Spin-1/2 XXZ massive in [B. Wouters, MB, J. De Nardis, D. Fioretto, J.-S. Caux, arXiv:1405.0172])

- Prefactor (in the thermodynamic limit $L \rightarrow \infty$, $N_{LL} \rightarrow \infty$, $n = N_{LL}/L$ fixed, $x_j := \lambda_j/c$):

$$2 \log \left[\sqrt{(cL)^{-N_{LL}} N_{LL}!} / \prod_{j=1}^{N_{LL}/2} \frac{\lambda_j}{c} \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_j^2}{c^2} + \frac{1}{4}} \right] \rightarrow -\log [x^2(x^2 + 1/4)]$$

- gTBA equation: $\log a(x) = \log(\tau^2) - \log [x^2(x^2 + 1/4)] + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(x-y) \log [1 + a(y)] dy$
- Explicit solution: $a(x) = \frac{2\pi\tau}{x \operatorname{sh}(2\pi x)} h_{1-2ix}(4\sqrt{\tau}) h_{1+2ix}(4\sqrt{\tau})$, and $\tau = 1/\gamma = c/n$

Remark: The gTBA equations for XXZ also have an explicit solution!

Summary and outlook

Summary

- Overlaps of Néel with XXZ Bethe states (Δ arbitrary)
- Overlaps of the spatially uniformly flat state (BEC state) with LL Bethe states (scaling limit)
- Application to interaction quench problems:
 - LL: steady state given by the Bethe root distribution $\rho(x) = \frac{\tau \partial_\tau a(x)}{4\pi(1+a(x))}$,
for correlation functions see [\[J. De Nardis, B. Wouters, MB, J.-S. Caux, PRA 89 \(2014\) 033601\]](#)
 - XXZ: steady state and some correlation functions (see poster by J. De Nardis)

Summary and outlook

Summary

- Overlaps of Néel with XXZ Bethe states (Δ arbitrary)
- Overlaps of the spatially uniformly flat state (BEC state) with LL Bethe states (scaling limit)
- Application to interaction quench problems:
 - LL: steady state given by the Bethe root distribution $\rho(x) = \frac{\tau \partial_x a(x)}{4\pi(1+a(x))}$,
for correlation functions see [J. De Nardis, B. Wouters, MB, J.-S. Caux, PRA 89 (2014) 033601]
 - XXZ: steady state and some correlation functions (see poster by J. De Nardis)

Outlook

- Correlation functions for the interaction quench to XXZ (general understanding)
- Overlaps and QAA also for different initial states (e.g. dimer, q -dimer,...)
- Complete understanding of the structure of gTBA equations (\leftrightarrow explicit solutions)
- Quenches from $\Delta' \neq \infty$ to Δ (XXZ) and/or from $c' \neq 0$ to c
→ determinant expression for the overlaps needed!

Summary and outlook

Summary

- Overlaps of Néel with XXZ Bethe states (Δ arbitrary)
- Overlaps of the spatially uniformly flat state (BEC state) with LL Bethe states (scaling limit)
- Application to interaction quench problems:
 - LL: steady state given by the Bethe root distribution $\rho(x) = \frac{\tau \partial_x a(x)}{4\pi(1+a(x))}$,
for correlation functions see [J. De Nardis, B. Wouters, MB, J.-S. Caux, PRA 89 (2014) 033601]
 - XXZ: steady state and some correlation functions (see poster by J. De Nardis)

Outlook

- Correlation functions for the interaction quench to XXZ (general understanding)
- Overlaps and QAA also for different initial states (e.g. dimer, q -dimer,...)
- Complete understanding of the structure of gTBA equations (\leftrightarrow explicit solutions)
- Quenches from $\Delta' \neq \infty$ to Δ (XXZ) and/or from $c' \neq 0$ to c
→ determinant expression for the overlaps needed!

Thank you for your attention!